



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                | FILING DATE              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/671,547                                     | 09/27/2000               | Seshadri Sathyanarayan | 042390.P9328            | 8296             |  |
| 7<br>Kurt P Leyen                              | 590 02/08/2006<br>decker | EXAMINER               |                         |                  |  |
|  | off Taylor & Zafman LLP  | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025 |                          |                        | DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief<br>(37 CFR 41.37) |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s) SATHYANARAYAN, SESHADRI |              |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
|  |  | 09/671,547  |                                      |              |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit                             |              |  |  |  |
|  |  | Merilyn P. Nguyen   | 2163                                 |              |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence                       | address      |  |  |  |
| The Ap<br>41.37.   | opeal Brief filed on <i>November 21, 2005</i> is defecti   | ve for failure to comply with one   | or more provisio                     | ns of 37 CFR |  |  |  |
| 1205.0   | id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an<br>3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t<br>ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI  | he mailing date of this Notificatio   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 1. 🗆   | The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.   |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 2. 🛛   | The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).  |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 3. 🗌   | At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).   |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 4. 🛛   | (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).  |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 5. 🗌   | The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))   |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 6. 🗆   | The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFF 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).  |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 7. 🗆   | The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).   | brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 7(c)(1)(viii)). |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 8. 🗌   | The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).  |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 9. 🗌   | The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding dentified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR -1.37(c)(1)(x)).  |   |                                      |              |  |  |  |
| 10.⊠   | Other (including any explanation in support of t   | he above items):  |                                      |              |  |  |  |
|  | All independent claims should explained properly need explanations for dependent claims. Only two cases of the summary of the invention of page 4 recites the content of the content | of eight independent claims have bee  | en explained.                        |              |  |  |  |

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

and drawing. However, Claim 1 was already canceled by Applicant...